

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,575	07/17/2003	Jang-Jin Yoo	8733.418.10	8871	
75	90 12/14/2004		EXAM	INER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			RUDE, TIMOTHY L		
Song K. Jung				····	
1900 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20006			2883	2883	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Autoro and Autoro	10/620,575	YOO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy L Rude	2883	A
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		orrespondence addr	9SS
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate the final Office action; or (extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · ·	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-20,30,33 and 34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10. Other:			
		tlr	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would add to base claims new limitations that have not been considered. Specifically, the proposed limitation of "common electrodes have discontinuous portions" has not been searched or considered.

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner

Frank & Fort